

PATENE CENTED -90

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK O 图解 15 PM 1; 4

In re application of: Rodney M. Richards et al.

Group No.:

GROUP 180 =

Serial No.: 07 / 220,108

Filed: June 24, 1988

Examiner:

Scheiner

180

For:

Method and Reagents for Amplifying and Detecting Nucleic

Acid Sequences

RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR 1.116
- EXPEDITED PROCEDURE EXAMINING GROUP 180

Box AF Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

NOTE: To take advantage of the expedited procedure the envelope in which this paper is mailed must be addressed as shown and must also be marked "Box AF" in the lower left hand comer. Alternatively this paper can be hand carried to the particular Examining Group or other area of the Office in which the application is pending, in which case any envelope in which this paper is placed must be marked as in the bold type box above. Notice of September 20, 1985 (1059 O.G. 19-20).

AMENDMENT OR RESPONSE AFTER FINAL REJECTION—TRANSMITTAL

1. Transmitted herewith is an amendment after final rejection (37 CFR 1.116) for this application.

STATUS

| ment: |
|-------|
| |
| |
| |
| n |

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING (37 CFR 1.8a)

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Box AF, Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231.

Charlotte Frumkin

(Type or print name of person mailing paper)

Date: May 9, 1990

(Signature of person mailing paper)

(Amendment or Response After Final Rejection—Transmittal [9-20]—page 1 of 4)

10 stammer 5/17/90

EXTENSION OF TERM

NOTE: As to a Supplemental Amendment filed in response to a final office action the Notice of December 10, 1985 (1061 O.G. 34-35) states:

"If a timely response has been filed after a Final Office Action, an extension of time is required to permit filing and/or entry of a Notice of Appeal or filing and/or entry of an additional amendment after expiration of the shortened statutory period unless the timely-filed response placed the application in condition for allowance. Of course, if a Notice of Appeal has been filed within the shortened statutory period, the period has ceased to run."

| | · | | (0 | complete (a) or (b) as applica | ıble) | | |
|-----------|---|------|--|---|--------------|--|---|
| 3. (a) | | | | for an extension of time und total number of months chec | | | 7 |
| | | | Extension (months) | Fee for other than small entity | | Fee for small entity | |
| | | | one month two months three months four months | \$62.00 \$180.00 \$430.00 \$680.00 | | \$31.00 \$90.00 \$215.00 \$340.00 | |
| lf o | : 4 : اد اد | | | · | | \$ | |
| па | aaiti | iona | | ime is required please con- nd complete the next item, if | | | |
| | (check and complete the next item, if applicable) An extension for months has already been secured and the fee therefor of \$ is deducted from the total fee due for the total m of extension now requested. | | | | | | |
| | | | | Extension fee due with this re | equest | \$ | _ |
| | | | | OR | | | |
| (b) | X | tior | nal petition is bei | that no extension of term is ng made to provide for the p d the need for a petition and | ossibility t | that applicant has inac | |

FEE FOR CLAIMS

| | 4. The fee for claims (37 (Col. 1) | | (Col. 2) (Col. 3) | | SMALL ENTITY | | | OTHER THAN A SMALL ENTITY | |
|--------|---|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|---|
| | CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT | | HIGHEST NO PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR | PRESENT EXTRA | RATE | ADDIT. FEE | OR | RATE | ADDIT. FEE |
| TOTAL | • | MINUS | •• | = | x6= | \$ | - | x12= | \$ |
| INDEP. | • | MINUS | *** | = | x18= | \$ | • | x36= | \$ |
| FIRS | T PRESENTATIO | N OF MUL | TIPLE DEP. CLAIN | A | +60= | \$ | - | +120= | \$ |
| | | | | ADI | TOTAL DIT, FEE | \$ \$ | OR | TOTAL | \$ |
| (c) | No addition | | (complete (c) o | or (d) as ap OR | plicable |) | | | |
| (d) | ☐ Total add | litional fe | ee required is \$ | | _ | | | | |
| | | | FEE I | PAYMENT | | | | | |
| 5. | Attached | is a che | ck in the sum o | of \$ | | | | | |
| | ☐ Charge A | ccount l | No | the sum o | of \$ | | | | |
| | A duplica | ite of this | s transmittal is | attached. | | | | | |
| | | | FEE D | EFICIENC | Y | | | | |
| NOT | necessary to six-month per doned. In tho tered in return on the case. | cover the diod has exposed instance instance instance in a did not be particularly and the particular in a did not be particular | ficiency and there additional time con pired before the de ses where authoriz spers to the PTO Fi ion to charge the 7, 1986, 1065 O.G. | sumed in ma eficiency is no eation to char inance Branc deposit acco | king up th oted and c rge is incl h in order | ie original o orrected, th uded, proc to apply th | leficiei ne app essing ese cl | ncy. If the lication is delays a narges pri | maximum held aban ire encoun for to action |
| 6. | <pre></pre> | | al extension a | and/or fee | e is re | quired, (| charg | e Acc | ount N |

(Amendment or Response After Final Rejection—Transmittal [9-20]—page 3 of 4)

AND/OR

If any additional fee for claims is required, charge Account No. 01-0519

Reg. No.: 31,222

Tel. No.: 805) 499-5725

IGNATURE OF ATTORNEY

Julia E. Abers

Type or print name of attorney

1840 Dehavilland Drive

P.O. Address

Thousand Oaks, California 91320-1789

Í AND TRADEMARK Ó IN THE UNITED STATES 90 MAY 15 PM 1:47

GROUP 180

Applicant: Rodney M. Richards Theodore Jones Serial No.: 220,108 Filed: June 24, 1988 **RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR 1.116** -EXPEDITED PROCEDURE-For: Method and Reagents for Amplifying and Detecting **EXAMINING GROUP 180** Nucleic Acid Sequences Group Art Unit: 180 Examiner: Scheiner

Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

This is in response to the office action mailed February 9, 1990, in which claims 1-21 were finally rejected under 35 USC §§112 and 103. Reconsideration and withdrawal of these rejections is requested for the reasons set forth in the following remarks.

REMARKS

Applicants protest the nature of the office action which was mailed on February 9, 1990 in the above-captioned application on the grounds that this action is not responsive to the arguments raised by Applicants in their amendment filed October 27, 1989.